

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ANSHU B. PATHAK,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:16-cv-02096-RFB-VCF

V.

SPADARO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

15 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 31) of the
16 Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered September 12, 2017.

17 A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
18 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific
19 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §
20 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is
21 required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed
22 findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local
23 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct
24 “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge.
25 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due
26 by September 26, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this
27 case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

28

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 31) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the United States Patent and Trademark Office's
4 motion to dismiss in case 2:16-cv-02096-RFB-VCF (ECF. No. 21) is GRANTED and the case is
5 DISMISSED.

6 It is directed to the Clerk of Court to close the case and enter judgment.

7 DATED: October 20, 2017.



9 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
10 **United States District Judge**

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28